1.2.1 (2 points) - Based on what we discussed in class about this dataset and the task of stance annotation (here, for attitudes towards vaccines), on what percent of the tweets that you annotate do you think the two annotators will agree? There is no right answer here, obviously, but provide a justification of your response.

I would say around 80%, we hope that we would do at least better than random guessing. So, each one of us is to assign the label randomly, for a particular tweet we would have 2/8 (25%) chance of all agreeing, which is good. But if we use the majority voting, then we would have 6/8 (75%) chance of agreement for a particular annotator. Therefore, I expect the lower limit is 75%. Now, for the fact that all the annotators are from India (most populous country and one of the worst affected by COVID) and being international students (the importance of vaccination in international travel), I would expect that there would be miser leeway in excuses of not taking a vaccine shot or anything against it. So general view/glance of looking at a tweet for all annotators would be similar if not exact.

1.2.2 (3 points) - Based on what we discussed in class about this dataset, what percent of the tweets that you annotate do you think will be labeled pro-vaccine? Justify your answer.

Again, as explained in earlier question, there would be miser leeway in excuses of not taking a vaccine shot or anything against it. So general view/glance of looking at a tweet for all annotators would lean towards sanity of vaccines.